

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/578,830 | 01/19/2007 | Riaan Lingenfelder Van Wyk | DET-0007 | 8941 |
| 23413 7590 11/14/25098 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 20 Church Street | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CLARK, CHRISTOPHER JAY | |
| 22nd Floor Hartford, CT 0 | 6103 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2836 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/14/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/578.830 VAN WYK ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CHRISTOPHER J. CLARK 2836 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 July 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 13-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 14-19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/578,830 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 13, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bossarte et al (6,490,977).
- 4. In re Claims 13 and 20, Bossarte teaches a blasting arrangement as seen in Figure 2 which includes:
 - a plurality of detonators (4)
 - a blast control unit which is directly connected to the plurality of detonators (20, Lines 64-66 state that the distribution panel 22 may be included in a single package, therefore causing the interface/control unit 20 to become directly connected to the plurality of detonators)
 - a blast key (27) connected to the blast control unit which includes a blast energy
 generator (27), the generator is operable to produce a voltage at a level which is suitable
 for armine the detonators using energy selected from a source in the blast key (Lines 35-

46 of Column 6 teach that the battery/blast key 27 provides energy at a level suitable to carry out detonation)

- Bossarte does not specifically teach that the blast key/battery 27 is removably connected
 to blast control unit
- 6. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the battery/blast key 27 to be detachable from the wires 28 of control unit so that the battery/blast key can be more easily transported or replaced upon failure, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. Nerwin v. Erlichtnan, 168 USPQ 177.
- In re Claim 21, batteries are known in the art to comprise a housing that protects and contains the energy source within.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 14-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 14-19 recite a blasting arrangement that includes among other components a blast key which includes a switch which controls operation of the blast energy generator. The featured limitations discussed above in combination with the other limitations of the claims is not anticipated by the prior art of record, nor would it have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the prior art of record in order to make the aforementioned limitations unpatentable.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,830

Art Unit: 2836

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER J. CLARK whose telephone number is (571)270-1427. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00-6:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on 571-727-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CJC 11/5/2008

/Stephen W Jackson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836